

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/483,726		01/14/2000	SHARON S. LIU	5437-106	8758	
29989	7590	03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
HICKMA 2055 GAT		RMO TRUONG &	KLIMACH, PAULA W			
SUITE 55			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSI	E, CA 95	110	2135	2135		
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/483,726		LIU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Paula W Klimach		2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	·								
1) ⊠ [Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/</u>	<u>10/04</u> .							
2a)□ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.		•				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-6,12-17,22-28 and 34 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-11, 18-22, and 29-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)□ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(• •	_							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 9/21/04.	5) 🔲		atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/483,726

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 09/21/04. Original application contained Claims 1-34. The amendment filed on 09/21/04 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-34.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/21/04 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 12-17, 22-28, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jalili (6,209,104 B1) in view of Kumar (6,535,980).

In reference to claims 1, 12, and 23, Jalili discloses a method, apparatus, and computer readable medium for verifying the legitimacy of an untrusted mechanism, comprising: submitting information to an untrusted mechanism. Receiving a response from the untrusted mechanism for each submission of either said first set of information or said second set of information (column 8 lines 1-15). Determining whether each response received from the

Application/Control Number: 09/483,726

Art Unit: 2135

untrusted mechanism is a correct response (column 8 lines 5-14). In response to a determination that any of the responses from the untrusted mechanism is an incorrect response, determining the untrusted mechanism to not be legitimate (column 8 lines 14-15). The response must be correct to be allowed access therefore an incorrect response would not allow access therefore indicating an illegitimate, or untrusted mechanism.

Although Jalili discloses submitting information to an untrusted mechanism, Jalili does not disclose submitting a fist set of information and a second set of information to an untrusted mechanism in a sequence that is unpredictable to the untrusted mechanism.

Kumar discloses a method, apparatus, and computer readable medium for verifying the legitimacy of an untrusted mechanism, comprising: submitting a first set of information (correct responses) and a second set of information (incorrect responses) to an untrusted mechanism in a sequence that is unpredictable to the untrusted mechanism (column 3 lines 40-55).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to submit data in a sequence that is unpredictable to the untrusted mechanism as in Kumar in the system of Jalili. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the challenge response is a suitable method for sending arbitrary messages in a secret fashion (Kumar column 2 lines 63-67).

In reference to claims 2, 3, 13-14, 24, and 25, wherein said sequence is generated randomly. The sequence is generated using a random number generator (column 8 lines 20-32).

In reference to claim 4, 15, and 26, Although Jalili discloses submitting information to an untrusted mechanism, Jalili does not disclose submitting a fist set of information and a second set

Art Unit: 2135

of information to an untrusted mechanism in a sequence that is unpredictable to the untrusted mechanism.

Kumar discloses a system wherein said sequence includes at least one submission of said first set of information and at least one submission of said second set of information (column 3 lines 40-55).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to submit data in a sequence that is unpredictable to the untrusted mechanism as in Kumar in the system of Jalili. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the challenge response is a suitable method for sending arbitrary messages in a secret fashion (Kumar column 2 lines 63-67).

In reference to claims 5, 16, and 27, wherein said first set of information is designed to solicit a first proper response from the untrusted mechanism, and said second set of information is designed to solicit a second proper response from the untrusted mechanism, and wherein determining whether each response received from the untrusted mechanism is a correct response comprises: where the set of information submitted to the untrusted mechanism was said first set of information, determining whether the response from the untrusted mechanism is said first proper response (column 6 lines 31-49), and where the set of information submitted to the untrusted mechanism was said second set of information, determining whether the response from the untrusted mechanism is said second proper response (column 8 lines 14-15). The first set of information would be the information representing the user's password and the second set of information would be all the other information that does not represent the user's password.

Art Unit: 2135

In reference to claim 6, 17, and 28, wherein said first proper response is an affirmative response, and wherein said second proper response is a negative response (column 8 lines 14-15).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-11, 18-22, and 29-33 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (571) 272-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PWK

Thursday, March 03, 2005